Inverse 2D Heteronuclear Correlation Experiments

Step-by-step setup for inverse 2D heterocorrelation experiments:

- 1. Acquire a normal 1D proton spectrum in exp1.
 - Turn the spinner off, and tune both X (always first) and 1H channels of the probe.
 - Shim normally, including X and Y shims as required to achieve reasonable line shape.
 - Calibrate **pw=pw90** and check the setting of **gain**.
 - Set $\mathbf{d1} \geq T_I$.
 - Reacquire the 1D ^{1}H spectrum, and optimize **sw** with ~10% of the spectrum as good baseline on both edges. Use **movesw ga-I** to effect the change. Do not exclude any solute peaks except -OH or -NH, etc.
- 2. **jexp2** MAIN MENU SETUP NUC, SOLV [C13 solvent]
 - nt=1 ss=0 go→ <wait for acquisition> dsx→

The above will show a one-scan spectrum; if the solvent contains carbons, they will usually show, e.g., CDCl₃ at 77ppm, which you can then reference directly. **xref** is preferred for referencing (follow it with **rl**).

- movesw ga

 ;sets up the X-nucleus 1D sw and tof which will become sw1 and dof for the 2D hsqc/hmbc
 - \rightarrow 160p to 0p is usually ok for hsqc
 - → 200p to 0p usually ok for hmbc unless keto carbons are further downfield
 - → cr=160p delta=160p will set first range exactly (although eyeball is ok)

This important step optimizes the sweepwidth in the indirect dimension, which optimizes the resolution for fixed experiment time; there should be $\sim 10\%$ baseline on either end of the spectrum, but no more if it can be avoided (err on the side of too large an **sw**, however, if you are uncertain about the range of chemical shifts).

3. **jexp3 mf(1,3) dsx** ;the ${}^{1}H$ spectrum is used for setting up hsqc-hmbc exp types

See the discussion at the end of this document for more about these variants.

Answer 2 (for this example) when asked for the location of the ^{13}C experiment

$$\rightarrow$$
 does sw (exp2) \rightarrow sw1 (exp3)
tof (exp2) \rightarrow dof (exp3)
and transfers referencing parameters

4. Important checks to perform every experiment:

- a) cables ${}^{1}H$ on observe (change needed on U500 only) ${}^{13}C$ on decouple (change needed on U500 only) ${}^{13}C$ filter in-line (the low-pass filter will not work!)
- b) check $nt=2\times j$; nt=2 is minimum for HSQC, nt=1 is ok for gHSQC if mult=0) ; nt=8 is the recommended minimum phase cycle for hmbc check ni ; $dres1=sw1/(2\times ni)$ need enough to resolve ${}^{I3}C$ chem shifts check $d1 \ge 1\times T_1$ for ${}^{I}H$ of interest check $mult \rightarrow 0$ all peaks are positive 0 = 2 0 -CH₂—are inverted wrt 0-CH</br>
- c) Check that the 1st row/spectrum; wait until FID gets to 2 in the STATUS panel, then:

dsx dc va

If you can see proton signals, then **nt** is OK; in fact, maybe $4 \times$ larger than necessary.

Other notes:

- check experimental time **time**→ ; **nt**, **ni** and **d1** are the primary factors here
- **ff** may be needed on 1st processing (**prun**)
- **dc** will keep 1D traces from going below bottom of screen
- "waveform timing error" often displays upon go; this warning is OK, that the waveform cannot be produced exactly (but it's close enough)

5. Processing:

- **prun dqcon plot2dhr** are the simplest/best methods to process, display and plot

wft2da ; to transform data manually, see the dqcosy in VUG for details dconi ; to display or DISPLAY ...

dqcon ;gives a nicer contour plot, but best done only on expansions (can be slow)

pcon page ;simplest command for plotting (plot2dhr can also be used)plot2dhr ;queried macro for plotting 2Ds with high-resolution 1Ds as traces

- 2× [type setLP1(2*ni)] to 4× [setLP1(4*ni)] linear prediction in the indirect, F1 dimension should normally be applied during final processing of hsqc/hmbc type data; will improve sensitivity and resolution of the data. [use prun(2) or prun(4)]

☞ HETEROCORRELATION 2D EXPERIMENT VARIANTS

HSQC, HSQCAD, gHSQC, and **gHSQCAD,** as well as **HMQC** and **gHMQC,** are all similar experiments, providing chemical-shift correlations between ${}^{I}H$ and ${}^{I3}C$ having one-bond J-coupling of \sim 110 to 170 Hz (approx. value set by **j1xh**). **gHMBC** and **gHMBCAD** provide chemical-shift correlations using multiple-bond J-coupling (set by **jnxh**, typically between 2 to 25 Hz); one-bond correlations are filtered out (at size **j1xh**).

The versions starting with **g** use pulsed-field gradients (PFG) for *coherence selection*: the gradients reduce artifacts, but also reduce sensitivity by a factor of 2. Empirically, we find the higher sensitivity overcomes artifact noise in **HSQC** and **HSQCAD**, whereas artifact reduction is crucial to **gHMBC** and **gHMBCAD**; these four are the recommended experiments.

The versions ending in **AD** are relatively new experiments that improve data quality by compensating for mismatches in J-coupling (e.g., **j1xh** is unlikely to match all the 1-bond J_{CH} couplings in a sample), and by improving the X-nucleus [^{13}C] 180° pulses. Initial experiments with **HSQCAD** have demonstrated clear improvements over **HSQC** and **gHSQC**, especially for *edited* experiments (a DEPT-135 analog; when **mult**=2).

HSQCAD with **nt=2** (**mult**=0 or 2) is the recommended 1-bond experiment.

- gHSQC (and gHSQCAD) has a significant advantage when working with nt=1, halving the time from an nt=2 HSQC experiment. The sample concentration must of course be sufficiently high to allow good signal-to-noise with a 1-scan experiment (20mM is enough). For edited hsqc (with mult=2 rather than the default mult=0), nt=2 must be used, and HSQCAD is strongly recommended. Edited hsqc is analogous to a DEPT-135, in presenting -CH₂- inverted with respect to -CH< and -CH₃, with some loss in sensitivity compared to the mult=0 experiment (which is analogous to DEPT-45).
- **HSQC** (and **HSQCAD**) gives narrower lines than **HMQC** by removing ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$ J-couplings during the F1 (${}^{13}C$) evolution.
- **HSQC** is more sensitive to pulse-width errors than **HMQC**, since it contains more 180° pulses, and also gives a bit more phase distortion. **HMQC** is therefore preferred on older spectrometers (**HSQC** is preferred in our facility, even on the UNITY).
- **HSQC** is better for high-MW (or similarly for low temperatures and/or viscous solvents) compounds since the internal times in the experiment are shorter than **HMQC**.

All six 1-bond experiments are processed with **gaussian** or **sqcosine** apodization.

All six 1-bond experiments can be processed with 2× (or 4×) linear prediction in the indirect, F1 dimension using **setLP1(2*ni)** [see also the PROCESS tab]. Linear prediction can provide significant sensitivity and resolution enhancements, but may also generate(enhance) artifacts.

gHMBCAD is recommended for observing ${}^{1}H$ - ${}^{13}C$ long-range J-couplings.

Since delays that are based on the long-range couplings (set by **jnxh**) are large, the sequence length is kept as short as possible. More importantly, the inherent variation in long-range ${}^{I}H^{-I3}C$ couplings in any compound prevents refocusing of antiphase magnetization using a single delay period. ${}^{I3}C$ decoupling is therefore not possible, and all peaks will be ${}^{I3}C$ -coupled in the ${}^{I}H$ (direct, F2) dimension. It is not uncommon that a **gHMBCAD** must be run twice, or even three times, to cover the range of possible couplings in a compound, e.g., with **jnxh** = 4, 9, and 16 (*not* as an array, but in three separate experiments). The elimination of artifacts by use of gradients (PFG) in *hmbc* is crucial to the success of the experiment; because of this, there is no non-gradient version of **gHMBCAD**.

gHMBC is absolute value in both dimensions, and processed with sinebell apodization, 2× [setLP1(2*ni)] or 4× [setLP1(4*ni)] linear prediction in F1 (proc1='lp'; see the Process tab) is recommended. [prun does sinebell by default; use prun(2) or prun(4) to perform 2× or 4× linear prediction]

gHMBCAD is a newer version of the experiment that improves data quality by improving the X-nucleus $[^{13}C]$ refocusing pulse, and being phase-sensitive in F1 (remaining absolute value in F2).

2×F1 (to 4×F1) linear prediction is recommended, and is setup by typing:

```
setLP1(2*ni)
```

Apodization reset (after setting up lp) by typing:

sqsinebell('f2') sqcosine('f1') [use prun or prun(2)]